

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

O. Box 1450 Jexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/774,699		02/09/2004	Anatoli V. Melechko	UBAT1550 7366		
48958	7590	08/03/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN BRUCKNER PC 5708 BACK BAY LANE AUSTIN, TX 78739-1723				LEE, C	LEE, CALVIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2818 DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
i i							
Office Action Summary	10/774,699	MELECHKO et al.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MANUALC DATE of this communication and	Lee, Calvin	2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the pend of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply whish the set or dended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 10 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	•					
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureates see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat nity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)      Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2
MELECHKO et al.

Application No. 10/774,699 Docket: UBAT1550

## OFFICE ACTION

## Election/Restriction

- Claims 1-33 are restricted under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - (A) Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of nanofabrication [class 438, subclass 20];
  - (B) Claims 18 and 33, drawn to a nanoconduit material [class 445, subclass 24].
- 2. Inventions A and B are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

In this case: (Group B compared to Group A) the nanconduit material can be formed by not only the claimed nanofabrication method, but also numerous semiconductor process/methods.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an
election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

## Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Lee at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner David Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The central fax number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system. contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

Calvin Lee

Date: July 26, 2005